

То:	Legal Services Board	Agenda Item:	5
Date of Meeting:	26 October 2017	Item:	Paper (17) 68

Title:	Managing issues arising from disagreements between approved regulators and front line regulators
Workstream:	Performance, evaluation and oversight
Author / Introduced by:	Neil Buckley, Chief Executive Neil.buckley@legalservicesboard.org.uk, 020 7271 0043
Status:	Official

Summary:

This paper outlines the approach being taken when issues about the interactions between approved regulators and frontline regulators (many of which relate to independence issues) are brought to our attention on an informal basis.

Recommendation(s):

The Board is invited to note the approach being taken.

Risks and mitigations			
Financial:	N/A		
Legal:	Decisions on any issues that are considered under the process described may have individual risks. Decisions on action will be taken in consultation with the legal team to ensure we act within our remit.		
Reputational:	If we are not clear as to the decisions we take on our response to an emerging issue, we may be open to challenge on whether we are exercising proper oversight.		
Resource:	Resource requirements will be assessed as individual issues arise. Significant issues may need other work to be reprioritised; this will be considered on a case-by-case basis		

Consultation	Yes	No	Who / why?
Board Members:			
Consumer Panel:		V	
Others:	N/A		

Freedom of Information Act 2000 (Fol)			
Para ref	Fol exemption and summary	Expires	
Cover	None		
Annex	None		

Managing issues arising from approved regulators

Background

- 1. As oversight regulator, the LSB has a role in ensuring the independence of the frontline regulators. The rules in this regard are set out in the Internal Governance Rules. Issues, falling short of complaints, are frequently brought to us relating to the relationship between the front line regulator and the approved regulator. Some of these issues may be covered by the IGRs while others can be outside of that framework. The issues are often (though not exclusively) related to concerns about 'interference'.
- 2. The LSB has at various times taken differing approaches in responding to such issues. This has risked inconsistency in dealing with such matters ranging from being silent or non-committal on subjects to the opposite whereby we are intervening in a myriad number of issues that the front line regulators should arguably be able to resolve themselves.
- 3. The approach taken affects our relationships with both the frontline regulators and the approved regulators. It also has resource implications. Indeed, by referring multiple matters to the LSB this could on occasions use LSB resources that would otherwise be used on oversight of the performance of the front line regulators.
- 4. This paper summarises how we are now approaching such issues. We consider this establishes a more systematic way of assessing issues in order to determine an appropriate response which could include no response. This paper sets out a framework for that assessment (which we intend to discuss and share with the regulators). In general, the outcome is to be slightly more 'pro-active' but balanced with a determination that the front line regulators should, wherever possible, endeavour to resolve issues themselves.
- 5. We have applied this framework to a number of cases and have decided on some case specific actions described below.

Assessing "issues"

6. The overall objective is to ensure that issues that come to our attention are considered in a consistent manner and there is an active decision on how (and whether) we should respond. In deciding the appropriate response we take account of the published Regulatory Approach.

Key stages

- On receipt of information a colleague is appointed to assess whether it is an issue on which an LSB response is needed
- A range of factors are considered; a non-exhaustive list is contained in Annex
- Further information may be sought as appropriate
- The range of responses includes but is not limited to
 - No action
 - Facilitate discussions between parties to enable a solution to be reached
 - LSB expressing a view on the issue
- A summary of the issue is produced and recommends the type of response
- The summary and the recommendation are considered by the CEO who decides what action is needed
- The conclusion will be documented and communicated to relevant parties
- 7. In assessing the issue we will, where relevant, want to consider it in the context of the IGR and our overall approach to ensuring regulatory independence. Other key factors in determining our approach include our own resources and the potential impact (both positive and negative) on relationships with both the approved and front line regulators. For some issues, if we take a view this may have implications for other regulators that also needs to be taken into account. In terms of our resources, while initially issues may seem to be small (and an earlier more proactive intervention may keep it this way), they can escalate.
- 8. If a matter is referred to us it should be because the approved regulator and its frontline regulator are unable to resolve it themselves. In the majority of cases it is the frontline regulator that will be seeking our support for their position and perhaps inevitably any decision has an impact on our ongoing relationships with the approved regulators. Our response sometimes may be that the issue is one for them to resolve and that we expect them to be able to do so. On other occasions a 'watching brief' might be the right approach.

Recommendation and next steps

- 9. The Board is invited to note the approach that the executive is adopting.
- 10.I will be discussing the framework with the CEOs of both the approved regulators and the frontline regulators at my routine meetings with them.

11 October 2017

Annex A

The matters that will be considered in assessing an issue include:		
Source of information	Front line regulator	
	Approved regulator	
	Legal Ombudsman	
	Member of the public	
	Authorised person	
	Our own intelligence gathering	
Reliability of information		
Nature of the subject matter and are the	Independence of regulation	
IGR (and our approach to regulatory	Quality of regulation	
independence) relevant to the issue	Actions of regulator	
Seriousness of the matter in relation to		
the regulatory objectives / IGR		
Why is the matter being referred to LSB	For information – default position would	
	be to acknowledge and ask to be kept	
	informed developments	
	For assistance in resolving the matter	
	For specific action	
Importance of issue	To the front line regulator	
	To the approved regulator	
	To LSB	
Impact on regulators	Single regulator	
	Multiple regulators	
	All regulators	